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Background
The Museum of Domestic Design and Architecture (MoDA) developed from a number of collections acquired by Middlesex University and its predecessor bodies between the late 1960s and the 1990s. The first and most important of these was the Silver Studio, which was given to what was then the Hornsey College of Art in 1966.

The Designated collections of the Silver Studio are a rich compendium of designs, textiles, photographs and archival material. Influential in the formation of Art Nouveau tastes at the turn of the century, the collections hold particular historical resonance for our understanding of the development of domestic design and suburbia, and are an ongoing inspiration for a variety of creative practitioners today.

Further acquisitions were made in subsequent years; the Crown Wallpaper Collection, for example, was acquired in 1989, and the Charles Hasler Collection in 1993. MoDA’s Domestic Design Collection includes several thousand books, catalogues and magazines relating to the design and decoration of the home. The museum also holds Sir James Richard’s library of books on architecture and town planning.

The museum has an extensive community of users who engage with the collections for research and inspiration in a variety of ways, both online and in person. Our users include everyone from established scholars researching the development of suburbia, to jewellery designers looking to use historic wallpapers as inspiration for new work, or members of the public keen to find out how their home might have looked in the 1930s.

What is the problem/issues(s) your organisation is trying to solve?
As part of Middlesex University’s move to Hendon campus in 2011, the Museum of Domestic Design and Architecture moved into a new study centre, conservation and photography study and stores, and changed the way it operates to Online, On Tour and On Request.

Consequently our digital offer is extremely important to us, but several factors have had a curtailing effect on MoDA’s ability to raise the profile of its online collections and unify resource discovery activities and social media outputs.

A particularly static website and inflexible content management system meant that up-to-date content and discussion around collections was hosted externally on a Blogger site, and refreshed content within the main collections site was largely restricted to an embedded Twitter feed.

Issues with modular overlays for collections records have made it difficult for users to share them – they don’t have distinct URLs until they are manually generated by clicking a ‘share’ button – which in turn meant that there was little traffic drawn directly to the digital collections from social media. Another effect of the lack of distinct URLs for collections records was that Google, and therefore its users, could not easily find them, and little traffic was drawn to the collections from search engines.

Whilst the website provided a good introduction to the collections, our site content was therefore largely static and, with poor search engine optimization, our online collections were largely under-used.

The difficulties of updating content had resulted in a lack of a clear content strategy, and with social media use in effect compensating for a lack of all other digital outputs and resource discovery activities, it had lacked a clarity of purpose.

These were the issues and opportunities that we were seeking to address as we began the training. In particular, as part of the planning for building a new website, we wanted to undertake a user- focussed analysis of our social media output as a first step to building a social media policy, and to look at how we could purposefully extend/link into a content strategy for the website and unify our collections discovery activities within a wider and coherent digital strategy.

How did the training components help?
The training gave us space to discuss our issues and ideas and really think about what we were trying to achieve with our digital collections and our discoverability activities.

Within the context of our website rebuild, the discussions on Schema.org (and the practical activity) were useful and timely, and something that we will revisit as we come to plan the metadata for our catalogue record templates.

The training also helped us to challenge what our social media use, purpose and policy was, and reposition our digital collections discovery activities relative to audience need. One particularly useful way of doing this, which was highlighted by the training, was to look at placing our audience(s) on a visitor>resident continuum (White & Le Cornu, 2013), and to look at the suitability of our choice of media to help target these groups through the appropriate channels.

This model was a particularly useful development of Prensky’s 2001 characterisation of digital natives and digital immigrants as at it took account of individuals at various educational stages – emerging, establishing, embedding, and experiencing – something particularly relevant to us as a university museum with a continuously fluid student body as a key target audience.

The frameworks and templates for social media analysis also helped us to clarify our purposes in relation to our audiences (and to start thinking more strategically) whilst the case studies provided an interesting benchmarking context as well as a source of inspiration in best practice. Looking at aspects of the Tate’s Social Media Policy during a webinar stood out as an interesting example of this.

Perhaps the most useful aspect of the training for us however was the introduction to the Balanced Value Impact Model, developed by Simon Tanner at King’s College London (2012).

Before undertaking the training we had a good handle on some of the issues with our digital collections and their discoverability, and we found the model a useful way of articulating these issues succinctly, contextually and with an identification of stakeholders at the heart of the
analysis. This in turn allowed us to use other aspects of the training – such as the social media analysis – to plan discreet projects to improve discoverability.

The refresher on Google analytics provided through one of the workshops was also useful for the Balanced Value Impact Model – particularly the work on adding funnels to goals in setting our key performance indicators – and the use of the model as a planning tool, as well as a means to draw together our digital activities into a strategy with a demonstrable impact (with scope for learning and reiteration) is something that we were particularly keen to explore.

Finally, the training helped us because, while we felt fairly up-to-date with issues with digital collections through interaction with professional networks, we were looking to undertake quite an ambitious programme of digital transformation. In one sense we didn’t know what we didn’t know, and it was often as useful to have best practice re-affirmed, as it was to learn new skills and be introduced to new models and ways of working.

What did you implement to address the issue(s)
During one of the training workshops we undertook analysis of Instagram as a potentially useful social media publishing channel that we’d not previously used and which, anecdotally at least, seemed to chime with the student side of our target audience.

Use of the template helped us to focus in on what we are trying to achieve through our discoverability activities; to question the purpose of our use of social media and to analyse whether we were using the most appropriate channels to fulfil our stakeholders’ requirements.

As a result of the analysis of Instagram we decided that it was not worth pursuing in the short-term. The app strips out the meta-data from images, which would not be ideal for our purposes as we are keen to make our collections more, rather than less, discoverable, and certainly don’t want to add to the volume of orphan images currently floating around cyber-space.

This issue with Instagram is mitigated slightly by the difficulty of sharing content from it – though that represents another problem from our perspective as we are keen to open access to the collections as widely as possible. The only way for users to share content outside of the platform/as embedded content in other platforms is through screen grabbing which could result in the orphan image problem previously identified.

Instagram is also not available as an app on the iPad – our go-to-tool within the study room for recording collections data and photographing day-to-day activities – which logistically would have been far from ideal.

The final problem we identified with using Instagram was that we couldn’t link back to our own site from posted content which, whilst it might be fine for some uses (and reduces spam) isn’t great for us in raising the profile of the collections by providing the opportunity to explore similar items in our online catalogues.

Following on from the workshop, we undertook three more social media analyses – for Periscope, Storify and Vimeo – and looked at how we could link them to our institutional aims, stakeholders and audiences and also the Culture 24 ‘What’s the Story?’ collaborative research project we are taking part in – and through which we are investigating using social media to co-produce content around online collections records.

To begin planning this, we started to draw up a Balanced Value Impact Model as a means of strategizing our social media outputs and digital resource discovery activities with a stakeholder/audience focus and within an organisational context.

As well as providing a basis for one off social media campaigns (such as the one we will run through the Culture 24 project), we hoped that this would begin to inform a social media policy which will (once our website is redeveloped) help to inform our website content strategy and eventually an overall digital strategy.

What was the outcome?
Social Media Analyses

We decided that we wanted to use the social media analysis as a means to interrogate the appropriateness of media for our experimentation with co-production around collections records.

From these analyses, we decided that we wanted to pursue the use of Periscope, Vimeo, and Storify but that we would not use Instagram (at this stage) for this purpose. A brief explanation of the reasoning is contained below.

Periscope: We decided to use Periscope in an experimental way as a result of the analysis – user demographics are not yet disclosed as Periscope is in its infancy, though as an emerging app, young, tech savvy users might be expected to be early adopters, and with students a key target audience, it seemed an appropriate choice for an experimental approach.

Where Periscope is slightly problematic for us is that links to videos (e.g. from Twitter) only remain live for 24 hours and there doesn’t yet appear to be a way of directly embedding videos from the app into a website. Although content can be saved to a local device, Periscope does not act as a longer term host, as YouTube or Vimeo do.

Periscope will be useful for live events, but not on its own for recording video snippets we want to re-appropriate later within our other publishing channels. As far as we can tell, there is no developers section to their site – which is unusual and a little bit disappointing, though the app is in its infancy.
As with Instagram, the analysis throws up that we need to re-check functionality (and indeed terms of use) as later gestations of the app are released.

**Vimeo:** The licensing options in Vimeo were particularly appealing. The site allows for the embedding of Creative Commons licensing into content – which is ideal for MoDA as we want people to share content widely (in order to send traffic to our content), and know their rights in doing so.

Vimeo has a slightly less commercial and more curated feel than YouTube, and provides a nice selection of analytics – particularly with the ‘plus’ account that we opted for. The lack of advertising is particularly appealing, and makes it a preferable host for video content.

The hosting of content is the real advantage of Vimeo, and it will allow us to easily embed collections based content in our new website as well as into Storify.

**Storify:** Storify has several features that felt ideal for our needs. Primarily, it’s a way to quickly show readers what kind of reaction an event or topic is getting on social media, and we can embed Vimeos and Tweets within contextual information to tell the story (co-produce the story?) of a collection through a social media campaign.

Storify also has an API key and is easily compatible with Blogger – meaning that we can use discrete social media (such as Twitter and Vimeo) to create digital content around collections records, and use Storify and Blogger to help us to tell stories around our collections which we can then re-appropriate through our new website at a later date.

**Balanced Value Impact Model**

Work on the Balanced Value Impact Model was much more complex and necessarily slower paced. We began by defining our context; defining the ecosystem of the resource, undertaking a stakeholder analysis, considering balancing perspectives and then defining appropriate value drivers for each perspective.

Defining the ecosystem of the resource involved looking at each relevant aspect of the digital collections – how they behave, technological infrastructure, expected users and regulatory factors, for example.

We also undertook a basic SWOT analysis at this stage, looking at the strengths, weaknesses, opportunities and threats of the resource relative to our current situation. These included:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strengths:</th>
<th>Weaknesses:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Highly visual collection</td>
<td>Issues surrounding current website</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small team</td>
<td>Fluidity of benefitting stakeholders (students)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In house skills</td>
<td>No content or social media strategies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ability to move fast</td>
<td>Difficulty of engaging students</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Willingness to experiment and innovate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current publishing channels have easy-to-analyse analytics</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Opportunities:</th>
<th>Threats:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Use of new media (Storify and Periscope)</td>
<td>Copyright</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rebuild of the website with a flexible CMS</td>
<td>Business continuity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Events that tie in to digital resources and comms – e.g. Charles Hasler book launch, Katagami crowdfunding campaign</td>
<td>Intellectual property and some publishing channels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Being keyed in to sector-wide collections and ICT developments (e.g. MCG, Culture 24 project)</td>
<td>Potential buy-in from key contributors (for certain projects)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTML5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Core audience are students (tech savvy)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The analysis then turned to our stakeholders: identifying them, grouping them and listing their key attributes. For the purpose of the analysis, stakeholders were defined as ‘a person, group, community, or organization who affects or can be affected by the ecosystem of the digital resource to be assessed’ and split into primary stakeholders (those directly affected by the resource) and secondary stakeholders (those indirectly affected by the resource).

For MoDA this included:
Within the model, four balancing perspectives are then identified:

- **Social**: the audience, the beneficial stakeholders and wider society have been affected and changed in a beneficial fashion,
- **Economic**: the activity is demonstrating economic benefits to the organisation or to society,
- **Innovation**: the digital resource is enabling innovation which is supporting the social and economic benefits accrued
- **Internal**: the organisation creating or delivering the digital resources has been benefitted within its internal processes by the innovation demonstrated.

These balancing perspectives then have value drivers assigned to them which, once mapped to stakeholders, help to complete the contextual analysis. These value drivers are:

- **Education**: people are aware that digital resources contribute to their own or to other people’s sense of culture, education, knowledge and heritage and therefore value them,
- **Community**: people benefit from the experience of being part of a community that is afforded by the digital resource,
- **Utility**: the audience value the utility afforded through use of the digital resources now or sometime in the future,
- **Existence/prestige**: people derive value and benefit from knowing that a digital resource is cherished by persons living inside and outside their community. This value exists whether the resource is personally used or not,
- **Inheritance/bequest value**: People derive benefit from the inheritance passed down to them and satisfaction from the fact that their descendants and other members of the community will in the future be able to enjoy a digital resource, if they so choose.

Once we had mapped our stakeholders to our balanced perspectives and value drivers, our analysis started to take shape, and were able to start plotting discrete projects with a stakeholder focus and demonstrable outputs (see following page).

Whilst it is early on in our analysis, and more stakeholder consultation is necessary, a truncated and simplified example of our use of this model identifies us as a university with a visually strong design collection. From a social perspective and with a utility driver, a non-user stakeholder group with creative characteristics might be assumed to be likely to engage with our collections if their visibility was higher through relevant external content aggregators.

With this in mind, an objective for MoDA might be to measure whether placing collections items on content aggregators (such as VADS and COPAC) helps to create new audiences for MoDA collections. Indicators for this might include the number of referrals from content aggregators – tracking conversations through Google analytics (destination goals: conversions to set of pages) – as well as the number of unique visits to items on external catalogues themselves. Further iterations of this might involve analysing bounce rate as a result of tailoring content on these external sites, for example.

Undertaking the Balanced Value Impact Model as a means of planning such activities has already proved useful, though a lot more work is needed at this stage. We’ll be revisiting this case study once that work is underway!
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Appendix A. Draft MoDA Balanced Value Impact Model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Perspective</th>
<th>Value Drivers</th>
<th>Rational/Attribution</th>
<th>Stakeholder group</th>
<th>Defining characteristics</th>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Assumptions</th>
<th>Indicators</th>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>Data Collection</th>
<th>Timeline</th>
<th>ACTION PLAN</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>People are aware that digital resources contribute to their own or to other people’s sense of cultural, educational background and heritage and therefore value them</td>
<td>Consumers, students, university teaching staff, users, influencers</td>
<td>To determine whether adding station buttons to collection and mapping collections to stations will increase the number of digital resources used within university teaching and learning within 30%</td>
<td>Students are aware/ familiar with the websites’ module (or series of modules) and, if they were asked to collectively choose more than one, the collection moved to nth place</td>
<td>Actual use of station buttons (number/value)</td>
<td>Add station buttons to collections in catalog, map collections to stations in courses and make available to students and staff</td>
<td>Increase number of trials, feedback from users, social media</td>
<td>Add tracking through Google analytics (advertisement grants conversions to set of pages)</td>
<td>October 2020 – January 2021 (set-up)</td>
<td>5 days of staff time (map resources, set-up)</td>
<td>10 days of staff time (setup)</td>
<td>0 days of staff time (map resources, set-up)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community</td>
<td>People benefit from the implementation of a community that is affordable for the digital resources</td>
<td>Partners and collaboration</td>
<td>To measure a positive change in the community around MoDA’s social media channels and any correlation to sharing of MoDA’s digital resources through these channels</td>
<td>Access to and use of social media, particularly Twitter</td>
<td>Increase number of followers, friendliness and shares or direct links to the collections</td>
<td>Increase number of followers</td>
<td>Social media campaigns around leader collection prior to launch to the national with other collections of successful</td>
<td>Visit multiple platform data for data project plan</td>
<td>August 2020 – September (final version)</td>
<td>C-D Program project plan, R&amp;D</td>
<td>C-D Program project plan, R&amp;D</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utility</td>
<td>The audience values the utility afforded through use of the digital resources now or sometime in the future</td>
<td>Users, influencers</td>
<td>To measure whether portal collectors item counts on content engagement (such as Mood or Google) help to create new audiences for social media collectives</td>
<td>The potential audience of non-collections websites’ collections is any content aggregation (value)</td>
<td>Number of re-shares from well-connected content aggregators</td>
<td>Add utility link to viewing external content (e.g., Mood or Google) and add content to social and VAP</td>
<td>Tracking conversions through Google analytics (advertisement grants conversions to set of pages)</td>
<td>August 2020 – December (analysis)</td>
<td>C-D Program project plan, R&amp;D</td>
<td>C-D Program project plan, R&amp;D</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic</td>
<td>Economic activity is delivering economic benefits to the organization or to society</td>
<td>Students, university management</td>
<td>To measure whether adding station buttons impacts number of reservations or increase in reservations</td>
<td>Students reserve a slot in the collection</td>
<td>Increase in overall borrowing requests/ number of requests from websites</td>
<td>Add learning button to collection links</td>
<td>Tracking conversations through Google analytics (advertisement grants conversions to set of pages and event specific conversions; data collection of information - p급 of data)</td>
<td>August 2020 – December (analysis)</td>
<td>C-D Program project plan, R&amp;D</td>
<td>C-D Program project plan, R&amp;D</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Innovation</td>
<td>The digital resources are enabling innovation which is supporting the social and economic benefits accrued</td>
<td>People are aware that digital resources contribute to their own or to other people’s sense of culture, education, knowledge and heritage and therefore value them</td>
<td>To determine whether adding station buttons to collection and mapping collections to stations will increase the number of digital resources used within university teaching and learning within 30%</td>
<td>Students are aware/ familiar with the websites’ module (or series of modules) and, if they were asked to collectively choose more than one, the collection moved to nth place</td>
<td>Increase in number of reservations and re-shares from websites</td>
<td>Add station buttons to collections in catalog, map collections to stations in courses and make available to students and staff</td>
<td>Increase number of trials, feedback from users, social media</td>
<td>Add tracking through Google analytics (advertisement grants conversions to set of pages)</td>
<td>October 2020 – January 2021 (set-up)</td>
<td>5 days of staff time (map resources, set-up)</td>
<td>10 days of staff time (setup)</td>
<td>0 days of staff time (setup)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>People are aware that digital resources contribute to their own or to other people’s sense of culture, education, knowledge and heritage and therefore value them</td>
<td>Consumers, students, university teaching staff, users, influencers</td>
<td>To determine whether adding station buttons to collection and mapping collections to stations will increase the number of digital resources used within university teaching and learning within 30%</td>
<td>Students are aware/ familiar with the websites’ module (or series of modules) and, if they were asked to collectively choose more than one, the collection moved to nth place</td>
<td>Increase in number of reservations and re-shares from websites</td>
<td>Add station buttons to collections in catalog, map collections to stations in courses and make available to students and staff</td>
<td>Increase number of trials, feedback from users, social media</td>
<td>Add tracking through Google analytics (advertisement grants conversions to set of pages)</td>
<td>October 2020 – January 2021 (set-up)</td>
<td>5 days of staff time (map resources, set-up)</td>
<td>10 days of staff time (setup)</td>
<td>0 days of staff time (setup)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research</td>
<td>People benefit from the implementation of a community that is affordable for the digital resources now or sometime in the future</td>
<td>Students, university management</td>
<td>To measure whether adding station buttons to collection and mapping collections to stations will increase the number of digital resources used within university teaching and learning within 30%</td>
<td>Students are aware/ familiar with the websites’ module (or series of modules) and, if they were asked to collectively choose more than one, the collection moved to nth place</td>
<td>Increase in number of reservations and re-shares from websites</td>
<td>Add station buttons to collections in catalog, map collections to stations in courses and make available to students and staff</td>
<td>Increase number of trials, feedback from users, social media</td>
<td>Add tracking through Google analytics (advertisement grants conversions to set of pages)</td>
<td>October 2020 – January 2021 (set-up)</td>
<td>5 days of staff time (map resources, set-up)</td>
<td>10 days of staff time (setup)</td>
<td>0 days of staff time (setup)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defining</td>
<td>People are aware that digital resources contribute to their own or to other people’s sense of culture, education, knowledge and heritage and therefore value them</td>
<td>Consumers, students, university teaching staff, users, influencers</td>
<td>To determine whether adding station buttons to collection and mapping collections to stations will increase the number of digital resources used within university teaching and learning within 30%</td>
<td>Students are aware/ familiar with the websites’ module (or series of modules) and, if they were asked to collectively choose more than one, the collection moved to nth place</td>
<td>Increase in number of reservations and re-shares from websites</td>
<td>Add station buttons to collections in catalog, map collections to stations in courses and make available to students and staff</td>
<td>Increase number of trials, feedback from users, social media</td>
<td>Add tracking through Google analytics (advertisement grants conversions to set of pages)</td>
<td>October 2020 – January 2021 (set-up)</td>
<td>5 days of staff time (map resources, set-up)</td>
<td>10 days of staff time (setup)</td>
<td>0 days of staff time (setup)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Characteristics</td>
<td>People benefit from the implementation of a community that is affordable for the digital resources now or sometime in the future</td>
<td>Students, university management</td>
<td>To determine whether adding station buttons to collection and mapping collections to stations will increase the number of digital resources used within university teaching and learning within 30%</td>
<td>Students are aware/ familiar with the websites’ module (or series of modules) and, if they were asked to collectively choose more than one, the collection moved to nth place</td>
<td>Increase in number of reservations and re-shares from websites</td>
<td>Add station buttons to collections in catalog, map collections to stations in courses and make available to students and staff</td>
<td>Increase number of trials, feedback from users, social media</td>
<td>Add tracking through Google analytics (advertisement grants conversions to set of pages)</td>
<td>October 2020 – January 2021 (set-up)</td>
<td>5 days of staff time (map resources, set-up)</td>
<td>10 days of staff time (setup)</td>
<td>0 days of staff time (setup)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>